G

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1886-7
~~1886-7~~

File No. 3

Serial Nos. 1-2

(S & A)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Major ~~Part~~ B ~~for~~

SUBJECT.

Allowance to Mr. Coopoosawmy Moodaliar for being in charge of the Police Department, Bangalore

Countersigned

Indexed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Memo:

Letter No. 3318 of 10th January 1887, from the Comptroller of Mysore.

In consequence of the transfer of Mr. C. Raghavachar Superintendent of Police, Bangalore district to the Mysore district, Mr. Coopoosawmy Moodr. was appointed to be in charge of the Police Bangalore district with an allowance of Rs. 50/- per mensem.

Vide Pro: of the Dewan Camp No. 1525-6 of 27th December 86.

2. In January 1887, Mr. Coopoosawmy Moodr. submitted a Bill to the Comptroller on account of the said allowance as per following particulars:—

1. allowance for having been in charge of the Police Department, Bangalore dist. during the absence of Mr. Raghavachar on privilege leave from 21st September to 14th November 1886 at 50 Rs. as per mensem ——— Rs. as. 90 — " —

2. —do— for the charge of the Police dept. Bangalore dist. from 22nd November to 31st December 1886 at 50 Rs. per mensem — Mr. Raghavachar having left Bangalore for Mysore on transfer on the 21st Nov. 86 ——— 65 — " —

2

3. In enclosing the above bill, the Comptroller in his letter quoted above, brings to notice that no Notification appears in the Gazette appointing Mr. Coopoosawmy Moodr. to act for Mr. Rajavelloo while on privilege leave beyond that published in the Gazette of 1st December 86, No 204 of 8th idem to the effect that Mr. ~~[illegible]~~ Rajavelloo to Supt. of Police, Bangalore, resumed charge of his office from Mr. Coopoosawmy Moodr. on the forenoon of the 15th Nov. 86.

The above Proceedings quoted were sanctioning the allowance, it is stated, does not state from what date he should be entitled to it. It is also stated that under ordinary circumstances the order would have effect only from date of the Notification. The Comptroller begs therefore to be informed whether Mr. Coopoosawmy Moodr. may be allowed to draw the allowance for the period of Mr. Rajavelloo's privilege leave less of course the first 30 days according to section 5 of the Pay and Acting Allowance Code, and for the whole period since the transfer of Mr. Rajavelloo to Mysore.

4. Mr. Coopoosamy Moodr. having taken charge of the Police department from 22nd Novr. 1886, the date on which Mr. Raghavachariar left Bangalore on transfer it seems fair to allow the allowance claimed by Mr. C. Moodr. with effect from the date of Mr. Raghavachariar's transfer to Bangalore viz: 22d. Novr/86 instead of 27th. December 1886, the date of the order sanctioning the allowance, and it may be sanctioned.

Sanction from 22d. Nov by.

5. The other question which requires specific orders is the allowance claimed by C. Moodr. for the period he was in charge of the Police department during the absence of Mr. Raghavachariar on privilege leave viz: from 21st September to 16th Novr/86 without any deduction for the first 30 days of the said privilege leave. The section quoted by the Comptroller regulates acting & charge allowance to be allowed to an Officer acting in or for in charge of an Office in consequence of the absence of another Officer on privilege leave - But the allowance sanctioned to Mr. Coopoosamy Moodr. is neither an acting

A note for period which Coop Mudr acted for an Off on Privilege leave by.

nor a charge allowance).
It is simply called "an allowance". Orders are therefore solicited as to whether the allowance claimed should be sanctioned without any deduction for the first 30 days of Mrs. Raymakers privilege leave or whether it should be deducted for the 30 days referred to.

6. I beg to take this opportunity of bringing to notice that if the allowance sanctioned to Mrs. Cooperswamy is intended to be a "Local allowance" it cannot be taken into account in calculating leave allowances or pension - vide definition page X, Civil Pension Code. (6th edition) unless it is called "a Personal allowance".

Submitted for orders.

5/3/87

The allce will be regarded as a local allowance

Note: The orders would have been more definite

14/3/87

(General Serial No. 31.)

188 7.

5

7420.

1

350

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
11 JAN 87
MYSORE

From

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10

Despatched 12

Received

Section 8:A

File No

Serial No

Enclosures. No. 1

General No. 3318

No.

Sir,

In enclosing a bill for Rupees 155/ received from Mr. Assistant Commissioner A. Coopoosawmy Moodr. claiming the allowance of Rs: 50 per mensem sanctioned in Dewan's Proceedings Camp No. 1525-6 of 27th December 1886 from 21st September 1886 the time he was in charge of the Police department Bangalore District, I have the honor to observe that no notification appears in the Gazette appointing that officer to act for Mr. Ragava charlu while on privilege leave beyond that published in the Gazette of 11th December 1886 No. 204 dated 8 idem to the effect that "Mr. Ragava charlu District Superintendent of Police Bangalore, resumed

resumed charge of his office from Mr. Coopoosawmy Moodr. on the forenoon of the 15th November 1886". The Dewan's Proceedings Comp No. 1525-6 dated 27 December 1886 sanctioning the allowance does not state from what date he should be entitled to it. Under ordinary circumstances the order would have effect only from date of the Notificat I beg therefore that you will be good enough to state whether Mr. Coopoosawmy Moodr. may be allowed to draw the allowance for the period of Mr. Rajavacharlu's privilege leave, less of course the first 30 days according to section 5 of the Pay and acting allowance code, and for the whole period since the transfer of Mr. Rajavacharlu to Mysore.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obed.t Servant,

A Ramaswamy
Comptroller
H. Mys

B/4

C – 92

Section S&A
File No 34/1886-7
Serial No

Read: —

Letter No 3318 d/ 10th Janry. 1887, from the Comptroller, enquiring from what date Mr. Coopooswami Mudaliar, Assistant Comm.r, is entitled to the allowance of Rs 50 per mensem sanctioned to him in Proc.s No. 1525-6 dated 27th December 1886.

C. No: 1948-50
d 14/15. 3. 87

Order thereon: —

Mr. Coopooswami Mudr. is entitled to the allowance of Rs 50 per mensem sanctioned to him in Proc.s No 1525-6 d/ 27th December 1886., from the 22nd Nov.r 1886, the date on which he assumed charge of the Police Deptt. from Mr. Raghavachariar.

The allowance in question

will be regarded as
a "Local allowance"

2. Mr. Coimbatore
Murdy is not entitled
to any allowance for
~~the~~ the period ~~during~~ for
which he held charge
of the Office of the Supdt of
Police during the absence
of Mr. Rajaram Charlu
on privilege leave.

Chief Secr

To. The Comptroller with the Bill
" The Dy. Comr. Bangalore
" The Inspr. Genl of Police for info